PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 15 JUN 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITYCE

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Ani	olioantio or organia file automorphis					
10	plicant's or agent's file reference 1.0122PCT	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. International PCT/GB2004/001355 31.03.2004			e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24.04.2003		
Inte	ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and	IPC			
GO	1K11 <i>l</i> 32					
	·					
	plicant					
SE	NSOR HIGHWAY LIMITED		•			
1.	This report is the international pr	olimina				
•	Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination i ansmitted to the applica	eport, established by thing to Article 3	is International Preliminary Examining		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 7 sheets, including	this cover sheet.	·		
3.	This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, compris	ing:			
	a. sent to the applicant and	to the International Bur	eau) a total of sheets, a	as follows:		
	☐ Sheets of the descript	ion claims and/or draw	ingo which have to			
	Administrative Instruc	tions).	ized by this Authority (S	ee Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
	☐ sheets which superse	ede earlier sheets, but v	which this Authority cons	iders contain an amendment that goes		
	Supplemental Box.	and international up	phoduon as med, as mu	cated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
	b. (sent to the International I	Bureau only) a total of (ndicate type and number	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a		
	sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
				mod dollons).		
_	This					
4.	This report contains indications re	elating to the following i	tems:			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
	☐ Box No. II Priority			•		
	Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
	Lack of unity of	invention				
		ement under Article 35() ations and explanations	 with regard to novelty supporting such staten 	, inventive step or industrial		
	☐ Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited		ione		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application			
Date	of submission of the demand					
Date	or adminission of the demand		Date of completion of this	s report		
25.0	9.2004		45.00.0005			
			15.06.2005			
Namo	e and mailing address of the internation ninary examining authority:	al	Authorized Officer			
	European Patent Office - P.B.	5818 Patentlaan 2	Telephone No. +31 70 34	40-		
	7 Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31	20	de Bakker, M			
	Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	t · · ·	JO DANNEI, IVI	The state of the s		
				· Office earn		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001355

_					
	Box	k No. I	Basis of the rep	ort	
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it w filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			as	
		wnich	is the language of	anslations from the original language into the following language , a translation furnished for the purposes of:	
	 □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 				
2.	. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				ch:
	Des	cription	, Pages		
	38			as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		mbers		
	1-35	5		as originally filed	
	Drawings, Sheets		Sheets		
	1/7-7	7/7		as originally filed	
		a sequ	ence listing and/or	any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.				esulted in the cancellation of:	
		☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos.		
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/	gs pagaifuk	
		☐ any	table(s) related to	sequence listing (specify):	
4.	Hau	not be	port has been esta on made, since the tal Box (Rule 70.2	blished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below y have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the c)).	
			description, pages claims, Nos.		
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/	gs	
		□ the □ any	sequence listing (a table(s) related to	specify): sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :	
	*	If it	em 4 applies,	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001355

_	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
1.	The obv	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- positions), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
		the entire international application,						
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 34, 35						
		because:						
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	⋈	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 34, 35 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
		see separate sheet						
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.						
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
		the written form		has not been furnished				
				does not comply with the standard				
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
				does not comply with the standard				
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readab not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative				and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
		See separate sheet for further of	detai	ls				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001355

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims
1-33

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non establishment of opinion

- No opinion has been established on the subject matter of independent **claims 34**, **35**, for the following reasons:
- 1.1 Claims 34, 35 both rely on references to the description and the drawings which is only allowed in exceptional situations (Rule 6.2(a) PCT). However, in this application this is not appropriate.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 636 868 (YORK LTD) 1 February 1995

D2: US 2003/021528 A1 (CHIN ROBERT ET AL) 30 January 2003

D3: FUMIO WADA ET AL: "LONG DISTANCE DISTRIBUTED TEMPERATURE SENSOR" PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONFERENCE ON LASERS AND ELECTRO OPTICS (CLEO). ANAHEIM, MAY 21 - 25, 1990, NEW YORK, IEEE, US, vol. CONF. 10, 21 May 1990, pages 460-461, XP000282289 ISBN: 1-55752-131-X

Clarity

- The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because independent claims 1, 16 are not clear.
- 2.1 It is clear from the description (p.18, line 25 and p.23, lines 21-22) that the following feature is essential to the definition of the invention:
 - (1) generating the synthetic output signal by normalising the square of the second output signal to the fourth output signal

Since independent **claim 1** does not contain this feature, it does not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential

to the definition of the invention.

- 2.2 The same reasoning holds for the corresponding apparatus claim 16.
- 2.3 The specification of the synthetic output signal is the subject of dependent claims 3, 20. From here on is assumed that the subject matter of claims 3, 20 is incorporated into claims 1, 16, respectively.

Novelty and Inventive Step

- The present application meets the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of **claims 1-33** is considered as new (Article 33(2) PCT) and inventive (Article 33(3) PCT). The reasons being as follows:
- 3.1 The document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of independent **claim 1** and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method of using an optical fibre to obtain a distributed measurement of a parameter of interest (Col.9, lines 43-47), comprising:

deploying an optical fibre in a measurement region of interest (Col.9, line 47); launching a first optical signal at a first wavelength λ_0 and a first optical power level into the optical fibre (Col.9, lines 47-49);

detecting backscattered light emitted from the optical fibre at a second wavelength λ_1 arising from *inelastic* scattering of the first optical signal (Col.9, lines 49-54), and generating a first output signal therefrom, the first output signal being indicative of the parameter of interest (Col.10, lines 4-7);

detecting backscattered light emitted from the optical fibre at the first wavelength λ_0 arising from *elastic* scattering of the first optical signal, and generating a second output signal therefrom (Col.9, lines 49-51);

launching a second optical signal at the second wavelength λ_1 into the optical fibre (Col.9, line 56- Col.10, line 1);

detecting backscattered light emitted from the optical fibre at the second wavelength λ_1 arising from *elastic* scattering of the second optical signal, and generating a third output signal therefrom (Col.10, lines 1-3);

3.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known distributed optical

fibre measurement in that: a third optical signal at the first wavelength λ_0 and a second optical power level less than the first optical power level is launched into the fibre.

- 3.3 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 3.4 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as that in D1, it is not possible to compensate for non-linear loss caused by stimulated Raman scattering (D1 only discloses how stimulated Raman scattering can be inhibited).
- 3.5 The solution to this problem proposed in independent **claim 1** of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The non-linear loss problem caused by stimulated Raman scatter (in the Stokes wavelength band) is well-known from the prior art (see, e.g., document D1: Col.3, lines 6-11).

Nevertheless, the prior art only teaches preventing or decreasing stimulated Raman scattering. Examples can be found in document D1 (Col.4, line 49- Col.5, line 8; Col.6, lines 22-34), where special measures are taken to prevent stimulated Raman scattering from occurring. Other examples can be found in document D2 (paragraph [0031]) and document D3 (the entire document), where in both cases the optical power is kept below the Raman threshold.

Therefore, an approach where the non-linear loss is *compensated* for in the corresponding equations, using a third optical (reference) signal is not obvious.

- 3.6 The same reasoning holds for the corresponding apparatus claim 16.
- 3.7 Claims 2-15 and claims 17-33 are dependent on claims 1 and 16, respectively, and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.